



Conversations



Search conversations within net.works@goo...



Sign in

net.works



Conversations



About

The other side effect is less obvious. The instruction prefetch cycle can also obstruct access to the operands of the current instruction. Again, wait states increase the likelihood of this happening, and make the delay more serious as well.

This process, in turn, is made more likely by the use of high-level languages like "C". Unlike the competition's CPUs, the 32000 series allows essentially all operations to be performed memory-to-memory, without needing a register as an intermediate. The compilers use this feature extensively, with the result that operands require memory access much more often than the equivalent 32000 assembler code or (e.g.) 68000 "C" code.

Important note: this presumes that if the compiler had been forced to bring the operands into a register, and get the result in a register, that it could have done some optimization and re-used that register. It is obvious, is it not, that a simple "Load A, Add B, Store B" is necessarily going to be slower than "Add A to B"?

And to compound the problem even further: the 32000 series is set up to use "indirect addressing" fairly heavily, and the compilers really use it a bunch. Especially the "C" compiler, which uses indirect addressing to implement pointer variables.

But wait, there's more (this is starting to sound like a TV mail-order ad!). Most "C" programmers seem to like to use "external" variables rather than parameters. On the 32000 series, parameters are accessed just as easily as ordinary variables, but externals are a *double-indirect*! For a 32016 to get just the *address* of an external item, it has to do four (4) memory cycles. And if that item is a pointer variable, "C" will require yet another two memory cycles before it even has the *address* of the data.

All of this indirect address and operand fetching puts quite a load on the memory system, and prefetching represents serious competition for memory cycles. If that prefetching turns out to have been unnecessary because of a branch, the performance suffers more than the number of wait states would imply.

So if you want your 32000 system to hum along, don't use wait states, keep looping and branching to a minimum, program in assembler, and if you simply *must* program in "C" avoid external variables and use register variables (especially for pointer variables). Oh, BTW, the MMU adds one wait state of its own.

